Breeder's Ref.	
DICCUCI S INCI.	



## Technical questionnaire

## lettuce Version 27 Mandatory fields or sections are marked with an asterisk (\*) 01 . Botanical taxon: name of the genus, species or sub-species to which the variety belongs: Lactuca sativa L. 02 . Application code: For office use only 03. Breeder's reference Breeder's Ref. 04 . Information on the breeding scheme and propagation of the variety 04 . 01 . Type of material \* hybrid cross-pollinated variety self-pollinated variety parental line 04 . 01.01 . Parental line use (this question could be confidential) In many cases there is a link in morphological expression of characteristics between the parental line and its hybrids. Therefore, it is recommended to provide information about the identity of hybrid varieties where the parental line is used. This makes the organisation of the technical examination more efficient and lowers the risk of an additional year at the costs of the applicant. This information will only be shared with the examination office in charge of the technical examination. In accordance with Article 88(3) of Regulation 2100/1994 the Office will treat the information under this section as confidential on request of the applicant. Please indicate for the production of which hybrid variety(ies) the parental line is used

Published 30/09/2025 Page 1/10

	04 . 02 . Method of propagation of the variety *		
	seed propagated		
	ovegetatively propagated	Please specify	
	04 . 03 Should the following question on genetic	origin and breeding method be treated as confidential? *	
	○ Yes		
	○ No		
	04 . 04 . Confidentiality justification *		
	(this question could be confidential)		
	Please explain why the information is to be considered as confidential and how its disclosure would harm your commercial interests (in but not limited to business relations, manufacturing secrets, expertise, commercial strategies, intellectual property) under Article Regulation 1049/2001. Since the general rule is transparency, it is in your best interest to provide strong reasons to support your requestion access request is received, the Office will evaluate your justifications and decide whether to disclose or information.		
	Please provide detailed explanations *		
04 . 05 . Information on the breeding method and the genetic origin of the application (this question could be confidential)		enetic origin of the application	
	breeding method		
	parentage with any other varieties		
05	. Characteristics		
	(the number in brackets refers to the corresponding chara expression which best corresponds).	cteristic in the UPOV Technical Guidelines, please mark the state of	
	05 . 01 . Seed: colour (1) (G) *		
	1 - white	Verpia	
	2 - yellow	Durango	
	3 - brown	Oaklin	
	← black	Kagraner Sommer 2	



Breeder's Ref.	

05	. 02	. Leaf: number of divisions $(6)$ *	
	0	1 - absent or very few	Fiorella, Lollo rossa
	0	2 - very few to few	
	0	3 - few	Curletta, Rodagio
	0	4 - few to medium	
	0	5 - medium	Ezabel, Jadigon
	0	6 - medium to many	
	0	7 - many	Expedition, Multired 54
	0	8 - many to very many	
	0	9 - very many	Excite, Ezfrill, Telex
05	. 03	. Leaf: anthocyanin coloration (11) (G) *	
	0	1 - absent or very weak	Clarion
	0	2 - very weak to weak	
	0	3 - weak	Du bon jardinier
	0	4 - weak to medium	
	0	5 - medium	Lollo rossa, Luana
	0	6 - medium to strong	
	0	7 - strong	Merveille des quatre saisons
	0	8 - strong to very strong	
	0	9 - very strong	Iride, Revolution
05	. 04	. Leaf: hue of anthocyanin coloration $(12)$	
	0	1 - reddish	Lollo rossa
	0	2 - purplish	Iride
	0	3 - brownish	Luana, Maravilla de Verano
05	. 05	. Leaf: area covered by anthocyanin coloration $(% \left\  \mathbf{r}_{i}\right\  )$	(13) *
	0	1 - very small	Steirer Krauthauptel
	0	2 - very small to small	
	0	3 - small	Diablo
	0	4 - small to medium	
	0	5 - medium	Luana
	0	6 - medium to large	
	0	7 - large	Merveille des quatre saisons
	0	8 - large to very large	
	$\bigcirc$	0 - very large	Rijou Revolution



05	. 06 . Leaf: colour (14) *	
	1 - green	Verpia
	2 - yellowish green	Dorée de printemps
	3 - greyish green	Celtuce, Du bon jardinier
	onot applicable	
05	.07 . Leaf: intensity of green colour $(15) extbf{*}$	
	1 - very light	
	2 - very light to light	
	3 - light	Blonde maraîchère, Lollo Bionda
	<ul><li>4 - light to medium</li></ul>	
	5 - medium	Aquarel, Clarion
	6 - medium to dark	
	7 - dark	Expedition, Verpia
	8 - dark to very dark	
	○ 9 - very dark	Pascal, Verdetrix
	onot applicable	
05	. 08 . Time of harvest maturity (34)	
	1 - very early	Gotte jaune d'or
	2 - very early to early	
	○ 3 - early	Pantlika, Sucrine
	○ 4 - early to medium	
	5 - medium	Clarion
	6 - medium to late	
	7 - late	Blonde maraîchère, Calmar
	8 - late to very late	

El Toro, Pinokkio

Breeder's Ref.



9 - very late

<ul><li>15 . 09 . Time of beginning of bolting (35) (G)</li><li>1 - very early</li></ul>	Blonde à couper amélioréePlease indicate comparable variety(ies) of your
1 - very earry	choice
2 - very early to early	Please indicate comparable variety(ies) of your choice
3 - early	Gotte à gaine blanchePlease indicate comparable variety(ies) of your choice
4 - early to medium	Please indicate comparable variety(ies) of your choice
5 - medium	PantlikaPlease indicate comparable variety(ies) of your choice
○ 6 - medium to late	Please indicate comparable variety(ies) of your choice
O 7 John	Hildo ITDlanco indicato comparable variety/ico) of your choice
7 - late	Hilde IIPlease indicate comparable variety(ies) of your choice
○ 8 - late to very late	Please indicate comparable variety(ies) of your choice
o late to very late	rease material comparable variety(tes) or your choice
9 - very late	Erika, ToscanasPlease indicate comparable variety(ies) of your choice
( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	
5 . 10 . Resistance to <i>Bremia lactucae</i> (BI) isol	late BI: 29EU (48) (G) *
1 - absent	Argelès
9 - present	Balesta
5 . 11 . Resistance to <i>Bremia lactucae</i> (BI) isol	late Bl: 30EU (49) *
1 - absent	Argelès, Colorado
9 - present	Balesta
not tested	



05	. 12 . Resistance to Bremia lactucae (BI) isolate BI: 3	31EU (50) *
	1 - absent	Colorado, RYZ910457
	9 - present	Argelès, Balesta
	onot tested	
05 . 13 . Resistance to <i>Bremia lactucae</i> (BI) isolate BI: 33EU (51) *		
	1 - absent	Kibrille, RYZ2164
	9 - present	RYZ910457
	onot tested	
05	. 14 . Resistance to <i>Bremia lactucae</i> (BI) isolate BI: 3	35EU (52) *
	1 - absent	Design, Kibrille
	9 - present	Bartoli
	onot tested	
05	. 15 . Resistance to $\textit{Bremia lactucae}\ (BI)$ isolate BI: 3	36EU (53) *
	○ 1 - absent	Bartoli, RYZ2164
	9 - present	Design, Kibrille
	onot tested	
05 . 16 . Resistance to <i>Bremia lactucae</i> (BI) isolcate BI: 38EU *		38EU *
	○ 1 - absent	Design, Kibrille
	9 - present	Bartoli
	onot tested	
05	. 17 . Resistance to <i>Bremia lactucae</i> (BI) isolate BI: 3	39EU *
	○ 1 - absent	Bartoli, RYZ2164, Dandie
	9 - present	Design, Kibrille
	onot tested	
05	. 18 . Resistance to <i>Bremia lactucae</i> (BI) isolate BI: 4	40EU *
	1 - absent	Bartoli, RYZ2164
	9 - present	Kibrille
	onot tested	
05	. 19 . Resistance to Lettuce Mosaic Virus (LMV) path	otype II (54) *
	1 - absent	Bijou, Hilde II, Sprinter, Sucrine
	9 - present	Capitan, Corsica
	onot tested	
05	. 20 . Resistance to Nasonovia ribisnigri (Nr) biotype	e Nr: 0 <i>(55)</i> *

Abel, Green Towers, Nadine

Barcelona, Bedford, Dynamite, Silvinas

Breeder's Ref.



1 - absent9 - present

onot tested

05 . 21 . Resistance to Fus	sarium oxysporum f.sp. lactuca	ae (Fol) race 1 (56) *	
1 - absent or low	1	Cobham Green, Patriot	
2 - medium	,	Affic, Fuzi <b>l</b> a, Natexis	
3 - high	ĺ	Costa Rica No. 4, Romasol	
onot tested			
05 . 22 . Resistance to Fus	sarium oxysporum f.sp. lactuca	e (Fol) Race 4 *	
1 - absent or low	· ·	Costa Rica No. 4, Gisela	
2 - medium		Ballerina, Patriot	
3 - high		Lomeria, Palmos	
not tested			
06 . Similar varieties and diffe	rences from these varieties		
Please note that information	n on similar varieties may help t	to identify comparable varieties	and can avoid an additional period
of testing.			
06 . 01 . Are there any sim	iliar varieties known? *		
O Yes			
O No			
	and differences from these var	ieties: *	
Denomination(s) of variety(ies) similar to your candidate variety	Characteristic(s) in which your candidate variety differs from the similar variety(ies)	Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for the similar variety(ies)	Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for your candidate variety
07 . Additional information wh		•	
07 . 01 . In addition to the help to distinguish the var	information provided in sectifiety? *	ons 5 and 6, are there any ad	ditional characteristics which may
Yes, specify	,		
○ No			
07 . 02 . Are there any spe	ecial conditions for growing the	e variety or conducting the exa	amination? *
07 . 02.01 . Type of c		in the same of the	
outdoor	<del></del>		
indoor			



0	7 . 02.02 . Growing season *	
	spring	
	summer	
	autumn	
	winter	
0	7.02.03. Are there any special conditions for gr	owing the variety or conducting the examination? *
0	Yes, specify	
0	) No	
07 . 03	3 . Other information *	
0	7.03.01.Type *	
	Butterhead type	
	Novita type	
	Iceberg type	
	Batavia type	
	Frisée d'Amérique type	
	Lollo type	
	Oakleaf type	
	Multi-divided type	
	Frillice type	
	Cos type	
	Gem type	
	Stem type	
0	7 . 03.02 . Other information *	
0	Yes, specify	
0	) No	
07 . 04	4 . Photo	
It is hig risk of a	phly recommended to provide pictures. Otherwise, the organ an additional year of technical examination at the costs of	nisation of the technical examination will be rendered less efficient, with the the applicant.
. GMO-	-information *	
08 . 0	1 . GMO-information required *	
The var	riety represents a Genetically Modified Organism within the	e meaning of Article 2(2) of Council Directive EC/2001/18 of 12/03/2001.
0	) Yes	If yes, please attach in point 08.02 a copy of the written attestation of the responsible authorities stating that a technical examination of the variety under Articles 55 and 56 of the Basic Regulation does not pose risks to the environment according to the norms of the above-mentioned Directive.
0	) No	



08

08 . 02 . In case of GMO, joint attestation of the responsible authorities stating that a technical examination of the variety under Articles 55 and 56 of the Basic Regulation does not pose risks to the environment according to the norms of the above-mentioned Directive.		
. Information on plant material to be examined		
chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardants or pesticides) different growth phases of a tree, etc. Consequently the plan which would affect the expression of the characteristics of	of a variety may be affected by factors, such as pests and disease, effects of tissue culture, different rootstocks, scions taken from t material to be examined should not have undergone any treatment the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such ment, full details of the treatment must be given. In this respect, a plant material to be examined has been subjected to:	
${\tt 09}$ . ${\tt 01}$ . Micro-organisms (e.g. virus, bacteria, phytoplas	sma) *	
Yes, specify		
○ No		
$09$ . $02$ . Chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardant or $\boldsymbol{p}$	esticide) *	
Yes, specify		
○ No		
09 . 03 . Other factors *		
Yes, specify		
○ No		



09

Breeder's Ref.	
DECLARATIONS *	
I/we hereby declare that to the best of my/our knowledge the i	nformation given in this form is complete and correct.
Place	
Date	
Name	
Signature	

